Modular symbols Bachelor's thesis defence

Francesc Gispert

under the supervision of Jordi Quer



UNIVERSITAT POLITÈCNICA DE CATALUNYA BARCELONATECH

Facultat de Matemàtiques i Estadística

20th May 2016

A historical perspective

A modular form? What's that when it's at home?

• Riemann noticed that the function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \qquad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1)$$

carries information about the distribution of primes.

• This function can be given (formally) by

$$2\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s) = \int_0^\infty \theta(it)t^{s/2}\frac{dt}{t}, \quad \text{where } \theta(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty e^{\pi i n^2 t}$$

• By studying $\theta(t)$, Riemann obtained the functional equation

$$\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\zeta(s) = \pi^{-(1-s)/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)\zeta(1-s)$$

and constructed an analytic continuation of $\zeta(s)$.

To modular forms...

• The Poincaré upper half-plane is

$$\mathbb{H} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0 \}.$$

• It is useful to extend it adding the cusps:

$$\mathbb{H}^* = \mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}} \,, \quad \text{where } \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

• Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the group of matrices

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \gamma \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

• $GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on \mathbb{H}^* by linear fractional transformations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \,.$$

- The modular curve $X_0(N)$ is the orbit space $\Gamma_0(N) \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$.
- $X_0(N)$ admits a natural structure of Riemann surface.
- Let $\pi: \mathbb{H}^* \longrightarrow \Gamma_0(N) \setminus \mathbb{H}^*$ be the quotient map.

• We also write
$$C_0(N) = \pi(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}})$$
.



ρ



Modular forms

The object of desire

• If
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
, then $d\gamma(z) = \frac{\det(\gamma)}{(cz+d)^2} dz$.

A modular form is a holomorphic function *f*: ℍ* → ℂ satisfying that

$$f(\gamma(z)) \, d\gamma(z) = f(z) \, dz \quad \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_0(N) \, .$$

So, if $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N), f(z) = (cz+d)^{-2} f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right).$

- If, moreover, f(z) = 0 for all $z \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{O}}$, f is called a cusp form.
- We write M₂(Γ₀(N)) for the space of modular forms and S₂(Γ₀(N)) for the space of cusp forms.

Fourier expansions

The way to represent modular forms

- Let *f* be a modular form.
- Since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N), f(z+1) = f(z).$
- The *q*–expansion of *f* at infinity is the Fourier series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(f)q^n$$
, where $q = q(z) = e^{2\pi i z}$

• Similarly, one defines Fourier expansions at the other cusps after a translation by an element of SL₂(Z).

- The change of variables q = e^{2πiz} is essentially the chart of X₀(N) at ∞.
- Observe that

$$dq = 2\pi i e^{2\pi i z} dz$$
 or, equivalently, $dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dq}{q}$.

 Therefore, there is a correspondence between cusp forms and holomorphic differentials on X₀(N): we can identify S₂(Γ₀(N)) with Ω¹(X₀(N)). • We define a family of operators $T(p): S_2(\Gamma_0(N)) \longrightarrow S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ for every prime *p*:

$$T(p)f(z) = p\chi_p(N)f\left(\binom{p \ 0}{0 \ 1}z\right) + p^{-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}f\left(\binom{1 \ b}{0 \ p}z\right)$$
$$= p\chi_p(N)f(pz) + p^{-1}\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}f\left(\frac{z+b}{p}\right),$$

where

$$\chi_p(N) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \mid N, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \nmid N. \end{cases}$$

- One can define T(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that
 - T(m)T(n) = T(mn) if (m, n) = 1, and
 - $T(p)T(p^n) = T(p^{n+1}) + p\chi_p(N) T(p^{n-1})$ for all prime *p*.
- In particular, the Hecke operators commute.
- In addition, there is a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that $\langle T(n)f,g \rangle = \langle f,T(n)g \rangle$ for all $f,g \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$ if (n,N) = 1.
- Consequently, there exists a basis of eigenforms.

A duality Computing *q*-expansions with Hecke operators

Lemma

 $a_1(T(n)f) = a_n(f).$

- Define the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}[T(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}]$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$.
- There is a perfect pairing

$$S_2(\Gamma_0(N)) \times \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$
$$(f,T) \longmapsto a_1(Tf)$$

which induces an isomorphism $S_2(\Gamma_0(N)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$.

- We need another description of the Hecke operators.
- The theory of compact Riemann surfaces provides a pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon H_1(X_0(N), \mathbb{Z}) \times \Omega^1(X_0(N)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by
$$\langle [\sigma], \omega \rangle = \int_{\sigma} \omega.$$

- If g is the genus of $X_0(N)$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega^1(X_0(N))) = g$ and $\operatorname{rank}(H_1(X_0(N),\mathbb{Z})) = 2g$.
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces an isomorphism $H_1(X_0(N), \mathbb{R}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega^1(X_0(N)), \mathbb{C})$ as \mathbb{R} -vector spaces.



Modular symbols At long last!

• The space \mathbb{M} of (formal) modular symbols is the free abelian group generated by the formal symbols $\{r, s\}$ for $r, s \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Q}}$ modulo the relations

$$\{r,s\} + \{s,t\} + \{t,r\} = 0$$

and modulo any torsion.

• We think of (formal) modular symbols as paths on \mathbb{H}^* .



Modular symbols

The key to describe homology

• $GL_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on \mathbb{M} :

$$\alpha\{r,s\} = \{\alpha(r), \alpha(s)\}.$$

- The group $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma_0(N))$ of modular symbols for $\Gamma_0(N)$ is \mathbb{M} modulo the relations $\{r, s\} = \gamma\{r, s\}$ if $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$ and modulo any torsion.
- There is a natural morphism

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{M}(\Gamma_0(N)) \longrightarrow H_1(X_0(N), C_0(N), \mathbb{Z})$$

mapping $\{r, s\}$ to the image under π of a path from r to s.

• $\{r, s\}$ corresponds to

$$\left(\omega \longmapsto \int_r^s \pi^*(\omega) \right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega^1(X_0(N)), \mathbb{C}) \cong H_1(X_0(N), \mathbb{R}).$$

• We define a family of operators $T(p): \mathbb{M}(\Gamma_0(N)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}(\Gamma_0(N))$ for every prime *p*:

$$T(p)\{r,s\} = \chi_p(N) \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \{r,s\} + \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \{r,s\}.$$

- One can define T(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ analogously.
- Therefore, by definition,

$$\langle T(n)\{r,s\},f\rangle = \langle \{r,s\},T(n)f\rangle$$
.

An important result with a funny name

Theorem (Manin's trick)

Every $\{r,s\} \in \mathbb{M}(\Gamma_0(N))$ *can be expressed as a* \mathbb{Z} *–linear combination of elements of the form* $\alpha\{0,\infty\}$ *with* $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *.*

Idea of the proof.

• Write
$$\{r, s\} = \{0, s\} - \{0, r\}$$
.

• Expand $s = \frac{p}{q}$ as a continued fraction

$$\frac{p}{q} = x_0 + \frac{1}{x_1 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{x_n}}}$$

An important result with a funny name

Theorem (Manin's trick)

Every $\{r,s\} \in \mathbb{M}(\Gamma_0(N))$ *can be expressed as a* \mathbb{Z} *–linear combination of elements of the form* $\alpha\{0,\infty\}$ *with* $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ *.*

Idea of the proof.

• Consider the successive convergents

$$\frac{p_{-2}}{q_{-2}} = \frac{0}{1}, \quad \frac{p_{-1}}{q_{-1}} = \frac{1}{0}, \quad \frac{p_0}{q_0} = \frac{x_0}{1}, \quad \dots, \quad \frac{p_n}{q_n} = \frac{p}{q}$$

• We can write

$$\left\{0, \frac{p}{q}\right\} = \sum_{k=-1}^{n} \left\{\frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}}, \frac{p_k}{q_k}\right\} = \sum_{k=-1}^{n} \binom{(-1)^{k-1}p_k}{(-1)^{k-1}q_k} \frac{p_{k-1}}{q_{k-1}} \{0, \infty\}. \quad \Box$$

Manin symbols

Another way to represent modular symbols

• The image of the map

$$\Gamma_{0}(N) \setminus \operatorname{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}(\Gamma_{0}(N))$$

$$\Gamma_{0}(N) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \{0, \infty\}$$

generates $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma_0(N))$ (as a \mathbb{Z} -module).

• There is a bijection between $\Gamma_0(N) \setminus SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}$ given by

$$\Gamma_0(N) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto (c:d).$$

• The space $Man(\Gamma_0(N))$ is the free abelian group generated by $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}$.

Manin symbols Towards the main result

- The matrices $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ generate $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.
- For all $\alpha \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have that $\alpha\{0,\infty\} + \alpha\sigma\{0,\infty\} = 0$ and $\alpha\{0,\infty\} + \alpha\tau\{0,\infty\} + \alpha\tau^2\{0,\infty\} = 0$.
- The group $C(Man(\Gamma_0(N)))$ of chains is $Man(\Gamma_0(N))$ modulo the relations

$$(c:d) + (-d:c) = 0$$

and modulo any torsion.

The group B(Man(Γ₀(N))) of boundaries is the subgroup of C(Man(Γ₀(N))) generated by the elements

$$(c:d) + (c+d:-c) + (d:-c-d)$$

and by the elements (c:d) such that (c:d) = (c+d:-c).

The main result

Modular symbols are what we hoped

Theorem (Manin)

 $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma_0(N)) \cong C(\operatorname{Man}(\Gamma_0(N))) / B(\operatorname{Man}(\Gamma_0(N))) \cong H_1(X_0(N), C_0(N), \mathbb{Z}).$

- The proof is a rather involved topological argument.
- A triangulation of $X_0(N)$ is used.



• The long exact sequence of relative homology induces a commutative diagram

with exact rows.

The space S(Γ₀(N)) of cuspidal modular symbols for Γ₀(N) is the kernel of the boundary map δ, defined by

 $\delta(\{r, s\}) = \{s\} - \{r\}$ (as a divisor of $X_0(N)$).

- Take N = 23.
- $\mathbb{M}(\Gamma_0(23)) = \langle (1:0), (1:17), (1:19), (1:20), (1:21) \rangle$ (this is a basis).

•
$$\delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $\mathbb{S}(\Gamma_0(23)) = \langle (1:17), (1:19), (1:20), (1:21) \rangle$ (this is a basis).
- dim_{\mathbb{C}} $S_2(\Gamma_0(23)) = 2$.

• The Hecke operators are represented as 4×4 matrices.

•
$$T(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
.
• $T(3) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -3 & -4 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

- The maps $a_{ij}(\cdot)$ (for $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$) generate $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C})$.
- Consequently, the cusp forms

$$f_{ij}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}(T(n))q^n$$
, where $q = e^{2\pi i z}$,

generate $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$.

- $f_{11}(z) = q q^3 q^4 + O(q^6).$
- $f_{12}(z) = O(q^6)$.
- $f_{21}(z) = q^2 2q^3 q^4 + 2q^5 + O(q^6).$

So what? Why should I care about modular forms?

• A cusp form *f* has an associated Dirichlet series

$$L(s,f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(f)}{n^s}$$

- Series of this kind are very common in number theory. For instance, in the theories of elliptic curves and Galois representations.
- *L*(*s*,*f*) has many "nice" properties: a functional equation, analytic continuation. . .

Thank you for your attention.



THE BEST THESIS DEFENSE IS A GOOD THESIS OFFENSE.

http://xkcd.com/1403/

Any questions?