# Modular forms modulo *p* and *p*-adic modular forms

FRANCESC GISPERT

Montréal, 12th November 2020

#### Abstract

These are the notes for a one-and-a-half-hour talk given in an informal seminar<sup>1</sup> to prepare for a workshop on higher Coleman theory at the Centre de Recherches Mathématiques. I present the theory of (classical) modular forms modulo a fixed prime number p and introduce the notion of p-adic modular forms using their power series expansions. I tried to present these objects in the most elementary possible form thinking of the variety of back-grounds amongst the audience. The notes follow almost verbatim Serre and Swinnerton-Dyer's original work in the early 70's, published in the articles [2, 5, 4]. At the end, there is a brief review of p-adic Banach theory, following Serre's article [3], which was meant to set the ground for Giovanni Rosso's talk that followed mine. No originality is claimed.

## **1** Modular forms over C

We begin by quickly recalling the basic definitions and results of the theory of modular forms. Let  $\mathbb{H} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0 \}.$ 

#### **Definition 1.**

(1) A *modular form* of *weight*  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (and *level*<sup>2</sup> 1) is a holomorphic function  $f: \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  with the property that

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k \cdot f(z)$$
 for all  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and all  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>I thank Adrian Iovita for organizing the seminar and thinking of me to give this talk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The notion of *level* will appear in Giovanni's talk on Katz's definitions of modular forms. I will focus on the simplest case.

and admitting a *q*-expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n(f)q^n$$
, where  $q = e^{2\pi i z}$ .

We identify *f* with its *q*-expansion (i.e., we view it as an element of  $\mathbb{C}[\![q]\!]$ ).

- (2) Let *A* be a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We say that *f* is *defined over A* if  $f \in A[[q]]^3$ .
- (3) Let M<sub>k</sub>(A) denote the set of modular forms of weight k defined over A. (It is, in fact, an A–module.)
- (4) Set

$$M(A) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k(A).$$

(It is a graded *A*–algebra.)

**Example 2.** The first examples of modular forms are the (normalized) *Eisenstein series* 

$$E_{2k} = 1 - 2 \cdot \frac{2k}{B_{2k}} \cdot \sum_{n \ge 1} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) q^n \in M_{2k}(\mathbb{Q}) \text{ for } k \ge 2,$$

where  $B_{2k}$  is the 2k-th Bernoulli number and

$$\sigma_{2k-1}(n) = \sum_{0 < d \mid n} d^{2k-1}.$$

In particular, we will mostly be interested in the following series:

$$P = E_2 = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \ge 1} \sigma_1(n) q^n \notin M_2,^4$$
$$Q = E_4 = 1 + 240 \sum_{n \ge 1} \sigma_3(n) q^n \in M_4,$$
$$R = E_6 = 1 - 504 \sum_{n \ge 1} \sigma_5(n) q^n \in M_6.$$

From these, we can also construct a modular form whose *q*–expansion has trivial constant coefficient, the (normalized) *modular discriminant* 

$$\Delta = \frac{Q^3 - R^2}{1728} = \dots = q \prod_{n \ge 1} (1 - q^n)^{24} \in M_{12}.$$

**Theorem 3.** *There is a canonical isomorphism of graded* C*–algebras* 

$$\mathbb{C}[X,Y] \cong \mathbb{C}[Q,R] = M(\mathbb{C})$$
$$X \mapsto Q$$
$$Y \mapsto R$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This definition of being *defined over a certain ring* will agree with Katz's one, which is more natural, thanks to a result known as the *q*-expansion principle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This is not a mistake. The Eisenstein series of weight 2 is not a modular form in the sense of definition 1, but "almost": it is a *p*-adic modular form and even a modular form of level  $\Gamma_0(p)$ .

(where X and Y are independent variables of weights 4 and 6, respectively).

*Idea of the proof.* This classical result can be proved using contour integration and studying the possible poles of modular forms to compare dimensions at each degree.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.** Let k be an even integer  $\geq 4$  and let  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_k(\mathbb{C})) - 1$ . Choose  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  such that

- (i)  $4\alpha + 6\beta \equiv k \mod 12$  and
- (ii)  $4\alpha + 6\beta \le 14$ .

Define, for  $0 \le j \le d$ ,  $g_j = \Delta^j Q^{\alpha} R^{2(d-j)+\beta}$ . The elements  $g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_d$  form an integral basis of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ . That is,

$$M_k(A) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^d A \cdot g_j$$

for every subring  $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .

*Remark.* In the way this theorem is stated, it is unclear even if  $g_j \in M_k$ . What happens is that one can compute *d*, which happens to be approximately  $\frac{k}{12}$ . Then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are chosen to compensate the difference between 12*d* and *k*.

*Idea of the proof.* There are the right number of elements  $g_j$ ,  $0 \le j \le d$ , and by construction

$$g_j = q^j + O(q^{j+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}\llbracket q \rrbracket$$

(cf. the formulae in example 2). The theorem follows by looking at the coefficients of  $1, q, \ldots, q^d$ .

In particular,  $M(A) = A[Q, R, \Delta]$  with the relation  $1728\Delta = Q^3 - R^2$ .

## 2 Modular forms modulo *p* (Serre–Swinnerton-Dyer)

This section is mostly a rewriting of some of the work of Serre and Swinnerton-Dyer, which they published in the articles [2] and [5]. Since most of the proofs are quite short and elementary, I tried to include at least the main ideas.

Fix a prime number p and let  $\overline{\cdot}$  denote reduction modulo p.

#### **Definition 5.**

(1) For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $M_k(\mathbb{F}_p) = \{ \overline{f} \in \mathbb{F}_p[\![q]\!] : f \in M_k(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \}.$ 

(2) The algebra of modular forms modulo p is the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra

$$M(\mathbb{F}_p) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

*Remark.* The last sum is not direct (i.e., a power series in  $\mathbb{F}_p[\![q]\!]$  may appear as the reduction of two modular forms with different weights).

If p = 2 or 3,  $M(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[\overline{\Delta}] \cong \mathbb{F}_p[T]$  (where *T* is an independent variable) because  $\overline{Q} = \overline{R} = 1$ . From now on, assume that  $p \ge 5$ . Then  $p \nmid 1728$  and so  $M(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[Q, R]$ . We have surjections

$$M(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[X,Y] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[X,Y] \longrightarrow M(\mathbb{F}_p)$$
  
$$\phi(Q,R) \longmapsto \phi(X,Y) \longmapsto \overline{\phi}(X,Y) \longmapsto \overline{\phi}(\overline{Q},\overline{R})$$

and just need to describe  $\text{Ker}(\mathbb{F}_p[X, Y] \twoheadrightarrow M(\mathbb{F}_p))$ . To do so, we will use Serre's differential operator

$$\theta = q \frac{d}{dq}.$$

#### Theorem 6 (Ramanujan).

- (1) Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $f \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $(12\theta kP)f \in M_{k+2}(\mathbb{C})$ .
- (2) We have the following identities:

$$(12\theta - P)P = -Q,5$$
$$(12\theta - 4P)Q = -4R,$$
$$(12\theta - 6P)R = -6Q^{2},$$
$$(12\theta - 12P)\Delta = 0.$$

*Idea of the proof.* Since all these forms live in 1–dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ –vector spaces, it suffices to compare the first coefficients of the *q*–expansions in each equality.  $\Box$ 

#### **Definition 7.**

(1) Let  $\partial$  be the graded derivation on  $M(\mathbb{C})$  given by

$$\partial|_{M_k(\mathbb{C})} = 12\theta - kP$$
 for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- (2) On  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[X, Y]$  (resp.  $\mathbb{F}_p[X, Y]$ ), define  $\partial$  by  $\partial X = -4Y$  and  $\partial Y = -6X^2$ .
- (3) Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $f \in M_k(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , write  $\partial \overline{f} = \overline{\partial f} \in M_{k+2}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

Next, we want to find congruences between Eisenstein series, but there are Bernoulli numbers in their q-expansions (see example 2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>I did not forget a k = 2 before the first *P*; as mentioned earlier, *P* is somewhat special.

### **Theorem 8.** Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

(1) If p - 1 | 2k, then  $pB_{2k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  and

$$pB_{2k} \equiv -1 \mod p$$
 (Clausen–von Staudt congruence).

In particular,  $v_p(B_{2k}) = -1$ . (2) If  $p - 1 \nmid 2k$ , then  $B_{2k} / 2k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  and

 $\frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \equiv \frac{B_{2k+m(p-1)}}{2k+m(p-1)} \mod p \quad for every \ m \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad (Kummer \ congruence).$ That is, the class of  $B_{2k}$  / 2k mod p depends only on 2k mod p-1.

#### Corollary 9.

- (1)  $\overline{E}_{p-1} = 1$ .
- (2)  $\overline{E}_{p+1} = \overline{P}$ .

**Definition 10.** We define  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[X, Y]$  to be the polynomials determined by the equations

 $A(Q, R) = E_{p-1}$  and  $B(Q, R) = E_{p+1}$ .

#### Lemma 11.

- (1)  $\partial \overline{A} = \overline{B} \text{ and } \partial \overline{B} = -X\overline{A} \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_p[X, Y].$
- (2) The polynomial  $\overline{A}$  has no repeated factors in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[X, Y]$ .

*Idea of the proof.* 

- (1) These equalities follow from a simple calculation.
- (2) Using (1), one can argue by contradiction.

**Theorem 12.** We have an isomorphism of rings

$$M(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[X, Y] / (\overline{A} - 1).$$

*Idea of the proof.* We know that dim( $\mathbb{F}_p[X, Y]$ ) = 2 and one can check that the ideal  $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi(X, Y) \mapsto \varphi(\overline{Q}, \overline{R}) \colon \mathbb{F}_p[X, Y] \to M(\mathbb{F}_p))$  is prime of height 1 and contains ( $\overline{A} - 1$ ). By lemma 11, the ideal ( $\overline{A} - 1$ ) is also prime. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{a} = (\overline{A} - 1)$ .

In particular, congruences between modular forms are only possible when the weights are congruent modulo p - 1.

## 3 *p*-adic modular forms (Serre)

The next two sections are my attempt to summarize Serre's article [4]. The original in this case is much longer and contains many more interesting results that I had to omit due to the time constraints.

Fix  $p \ge 3$  (for simplicity). For  $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![q]\!]$ , write

$$v_p(f) = \inf_{n \ge 0} \left\{ v_p(a_n(f)) \right\}.$$

**Theorem 13.** Let  $f \in M_k(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\tilde{f} \in M_{\tilde{k}}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Suppose that  $f \neq 0$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . If  $v_p(f - \tilde{f}) \geq v_p(f) + m$ , then

$$\widetilde{k} \equiv k \mod (p-1)p^{m-1}$$

*Idea of the proof.* The theorem can be proved by induction on *m*. The base case follows from theorem 12.  $\Box$ 

Intuitively, this theorem says that two modular forms can be p-adically close only if their weights are.

**Definition 14.** For  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , set

$$W_m = \left(\mathbb{Z} / (p-1)\mathbb{Z}\right) \times \left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{m-1}\mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \left(\mathbb{Z} / p^m\mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times}.$$

The group of *p*–adic weights is

$$W = \varprojlim_m W_m = \left( \mathbb{Z} / (p-1)\mathbb{Z} \right) \times \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}.$$

*Remark.* One often identifies W with  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}, \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times})$  via  $k \mapsto (x \mapsto x^k)$ .<sup>6</sup>

#### **Definition 15.**

A *p*-adic modular form is a formal power series *f* ∈ Q<sub>p</sub>[[*q*]] such that there exist *f<sub>i</sub>* ∈ *M*<sub>k<sub>i</sub></sub>(Q) for *i* ∈ Z<sub>≥1</sub> with the property that

$$v_p(f-f_i) \xrightarrow[i\to\infty]{} +\infty.$$

If  $k = \lim_{i\to\infty} k_i \in W$  (i.e., this limit exists and is well-defined in *W*), we say that *f* has *weight k*.

- Let M<sub>k</sub>(Q<sub>p</sub>) denote the set of *p*-adic modular forms of weight *k*. (It is, in fact, a Banach space over Q<sub>p</sub>.)
- 3. Set

$$M(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \bigoplus_{k \in W} M_k(\mathbb{Q}_p).^7$$

(It is a graded  $Q_p$ -algebra.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>We will see more of this and other interpretations of the weight space in future talks.

*Remark.* Since a *p*-adic modular form can be obtained as the limit of several sequences of modular forms, it might seem unclear whether weights are well-defined. Theorem 13 is what justifies this definition (with a little work that is left to the reader).

**Example 16.** If p = 3, then  $Q \equiv 1 \mod p$  and so we obtain

$$\frac{1}{Q} = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{Q^{p^i}}{Q} = \lim_{i \to \infty} Q^{p^i - 1} \in M_{-4}(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

**Theorem 17.** Consider  $f_i \in M_{k_i}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . If

(i) each sequence 
$$(a_n(f_i))_{i>1}$$
 for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$  has a limit  $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and

(ii) the sequence  $(k_i)_{i \ge 1}$  has a limit  $k \in W$  which is  $\ne 0$ ,

then the sequence  $(a_0(f_i))_{i>1}$  too admits a limit  $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  and

$$f=\sum_{n\geq 0}a_nq^n\in M_k(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

*Idea of the proof.* By theorem 13 applied to any  $g \in M_k(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $\tilde{g} = a_0(g)$  (i.e., a constant, which we view in  $M_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ), if we choose  $m \gg 0$  such that  $k \neq 0$  in  $W_{m+1}$ , then

$$v_p(a_0(g)) + m \ge \inf_{n\ge 1} \{ v_p(a_n(g)) \}.$$

Thus, the convergence of the  $a_0(\cdot)$  coefficients is forced by that of the  $a_n(\cdot)$  for  $n \ge 1.^8$ 

**Example 18.** Take a sequence  $k_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  such that

(i)  $k_i \rightarrow k \in 2W$  and

(ii)  $|k_i| \to \infty$  (in  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is the usual archimedean absolute value). Then

$$\sigma_{k_i-1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{k_i-1} \xrightarrow[i \to \infty]{i \to \infty} \sum_{p \nmid d|n} d^{k-1} = \sigma_{k-1}^*(n),$$

where the last sum skips any p factors because condition (ii) makes them tend to 0 p-adically. Hence, we obtain a limit of Eisenstein series

$$\frac{B_{k_i}}{2k_i}E_{k_i} = \frac{B_{k_i}}{2k_i} + \sum_{n\geq 1}\sigma_{k_i-1}(n)q^n \xrightarrow[i\to\infty]{}''\frac{B_k}{2k}'' + \sum_{n\geq 1}\sigma_{k-1}^*(n)q^n = E_k^* \in M_k(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The notation I use here is not compatible with that of the previous sections. It is important to note that *p*–adic modular forms are not the same as modular forms defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . However, I believe there is little chance of confusion at the level of this talk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Here I did my best to give a bit of intuition, but the explanation is admittedly not enough to see how the proof would proceed. There is at least another key idea in the proof that I decided to omit because of the time constraints.

(The factors  $B_{k_i} / 2k_i$  occur as special values of the Riemann zeta function; likewise, the limit factor " $B_k / 2k$ " occurs as a special value of its *p*-adic counterpart, known as the Kubota–Leopoldt *p*-adic L–function.)

## 4 Hecke operators

Definition 19. Let

$$f = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n(f) q^n \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![q]\!].$$

We define

$$f | \mathbf{U}_p = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_{np}(f) q^n,$$
  

$$f | \mathbf{V}_p = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n(f) q^{np},$$
  

$$f |_k \mathbf{T}_\ell = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_{n\ell}(f) q^n + \ell^{k-1} \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n(f) q^{n\ell}$$

(for any prime number  $\ell$  and any  $k \in W$ ).

#### Theorem 20.

- (1) The operators  $T_{\ell}$ , for  $\ell$  prime, act on  $M_k(\mathbb{Z}_{(v)})$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2) The operators  $U_p$ ,  $V_p$  and  $T_\ell$  for  $\ell \neq p$  act on  $M_k(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  for every  $k \in W$ .
- (3) The operators  $T_{\ell}$ , for  $\ell$  prime, commute among themselves and with  $U_p$  and  $V_p$ .

We are usually interested in simultaneous eigenvectors for these operators (i.e., *eigenforms*). We also consider the operator  $\theta = q \frac{d}{dq}$ , which increases weights by 2.

Since  $T_p \equiv U_p \mod p$ , we get an action of  $U_p$  on  $M(\mathbb{F}_p)$  with the following *contracting* property:

#### Theorem 21.

- (1) Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If k > p + 1, then  $U_p$  maps  $M_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$  into  $M_{\widetilde{k}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  for some  $\widetilde{k} < k$ .
- (2) The operator  $U_p$  acts on  $M_{p-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  bijectively.

**Corollary 22.** Assume that  $p \ge 5$ . Let  $[a] \in (2\mathbb{Z} / (p-1)\mathbb{Z})$  and define

$$M_{[a]}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \bigcup_{k \in [a]} M_k(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

- (1) There exists a unique decomposition  $M_{[a]}(\mathbb{F}_p) = S \oplus N$  with the property that  $U_p$  acts invertibly on S and acts nilpotently on N.
- (2) If  $k \in [a]$  and  $4 \leq k \leq p+1$ , then  $S \subset M_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .
- (3) If [a] = [0], then  $S = M_{p-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

It is natural to wonder if there are similar decompositions for p-adic modular forms, as that would allow us to study smaller spaces of modular forms by means of the U<sub>p</sub>-action. To go in that direction, we need functional analysis.

## 5 Compact operators on Banach spaces

This last section (which one might think of as an appendix) is a very brief summary of the results that we will need from Serre's article [3].

**Definition 23.** A  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -Banach space *X* is called *orthonormalizable* if there exists a family  $(e_i)_{i \in I} \subset X$  (an *orthonormal basis*) with the property that each  $x \in X$  admits a unique expression as a linear combination

$$x = \sum_{i \in I} x_i e_i, \quad x_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ for all } i \in I,$$

with

(i)  $x_i \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} 0$  (i.e., for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $|x_i|_p < \epsilon$  for all but finitely many  $i \in I$ ) and

(ii) 
$$|x| = \sup_{i \in I} \{ |x_i|_p \}.$$

From now on, fix an orthonormalizable  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -Banach space X and write  $\mathscr{L}(X)$  for the space of continuous  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear maps  $U: X \to X$  endowed with the supremum norm

$$||U|| = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{|Ux|}{|x|}.$$

#### **Definition 24.**

- (1) An operator  $U \in \mathscr{L}(X)$  is *compact* if it is the limit of a sequence of maps of finite rank in  $\mathscr{L}(X)$ .
- (2) Let  $\mathscr{C}(X)$  denote the Banach algebra of compact operators on *X*.

Given  $U \in \mathscr{C}(X)$ , we can construct what is known as the *Fredholm determinant*,  $det(1 - tU) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![t]\!]$ , as follows:

- Up to scaling, we may assume that  $||U|| \le 1$  and so that U acts on the unit ball  $X_0 = \{x \in X : |x| \le 1\}$ .
- By the definition of compact, for each n ∈ Z<sub>≥1</sub>, the image of U|<sub>(X<sub>0</sub> / p<sup>n</sup>X<sub>0</sub>)</sub> is contained in a finite free (Z / p<sup>n</sup>Z)-module Y<sub>n</sub>; then there is a well-defined

$$\det(1-tU|_{Y_n}) \in (\mathbb{Z} / p^n \mathbb{Z})[t].$$

• Take projective limits of the previous polynomials over  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  to obtain

$$\det(1-tU)\in\mathbb{Z}_p[\![t]\!].$$

(The assumption in the first step forces coefficients to lie in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , but for general *U* we get an element of  $\mathbb{Q}_p[\![t]\!]$ .)

**Proposition 25.** For every  $U \in \mathscr{C}(X)$ , the Fredholm determinant det(1 - tU) is entire (i.e., has an infinite radius of convergence).

**Theorem 26 (Riesz decomposition).** Let  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$  be a zero of order h of  $\det(1 - tU)$ . There exists a unique decomposition as a direct sum of closed subspaces  $X = S(a) \oplus N(a)$ with the property that 1 - aU acts invertibly on S(a) and acts nilpotently on N(a). Moreover,  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N(a)) = h$ .

*Remark.*  $N(a) = \text{Ker}((1 - aU)^h)$  is the *U*-eigenspace of eigenvalue  $a^{-1}$ ; its elements are *generalized U*-eigenvectors of slope  $\alpha = -v_p(a)$ , which is one of the slopes of the Newton polygon of det(1 - tU).

*Idea of the proof.* One can use Fredholm's resolvent det(1 - tU) / (1 - tU) and divided differences to obtain several identities and then evaluate them at t = a to explicitly find projectors for the decomposition  $X = S(a) \oplus N(a)$ .

**Corollary 27.** Let Q(t) be an irreducible polynomial of  $\mathbb{Q}_p[t]$  with Q(0) = 1. There exists a unique decomposition as a direct sum of closed subspaces  $X = S(Q) \oplus N(Q)$  such that the operator Q(U) acts invertibly on S(Q) and acts nilpotently on N(Q). Moreover,  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(N(Q)) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Write  $Q(U) = 1 - \widetilde{U}$  and apply theorem 26 to  $\widetilde{U}$  and a = 1.

Fix  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ . By an analogue of Weierstrass's preparation theorem, there are only finitely many Q as in corollary 27 with slope

$$v_p(Q) = v_p(\text{"root of } Q") \le h.$$

Defining

$$X^{(\leq h)} = \bigoplus_{v_p(Q) \leq h} N(Q),$$

we obtain a unique *slope*  $\leq h$  *decomposition*  $X = X^{(\leq h)} \oplus X^{(>h)}$  and the first part is even finite-dimensional.

**Fact.** The space  $M_k(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  of *p*-adic modular forms of weight  $k \in W$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -Banach space. However, the operator  $\mathbb{U}_p$  acting on  $M_k(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is not compact.

The reason why we cannot apply this theory to the operator  $U_p$  is that the space  $M_k(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is *too large*. As we will see in the next talk, Katz's solution to this problem was to work with subspaces of *overconvergent modular forms*.

## References

- [1] Ramanujan, S. "On certain arithmetical functions". In: *Trans. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 22.9 (1916), pp. 159–184.
- [2] Serre, J.-P. "Congruences et formes modulaires". In: Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 1971/72. Exposés 400–417. Ed. by Dold, A. and Eckmann, B. Lecture notes in mathematics 317. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1973, pp. 319–338.
- [3] Serre, J.-P. "Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p-adiques". In: Publ. Math. IHÉS 12 (1962), pp. 69–85.
- [4] Serre, J.-P. "Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta *p*-adiques". In: *Modular functions of one variable III*. Ed. by Serre, J.-P. and Kuijk, W. Lecture notes in mathematics 350. Berlin, Germany: Sprinver-Verlag, 1973, pp. 191–268.
- [5] Swinnerton-Dyer, H. P. F. "On ℓ-adic representations and congruences for coefficients of modular forms". In: *Modular functions of one variable III*. Ed. by Serre, J.-P. and Kuijk, W. Lecture notes in mathematics 350. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1973, pp. 1–55.